Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/724,607	KONDO, JUN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emmanuel Sayoc	3746
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Emmanuel Sayoc</u> .	(3)	
(2) Sterling Chandler.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 January 2005	Time: <u>2pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Telephonic ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Telephonic ☐ Yes ☐ Note of Telephonic ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 6		
Prior art documents discussed: Alaze et al. 6283724		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Applicant agreed to the outlined examiner's amendment		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contraction of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide a writ	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
Min to Johns		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applications)	oplicant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)